arXiv:1604.01551v1 [math.AC] 6 Apr 2016

Stably co-tame polynomial automorphisms over commutative rings

Shigeru Kuroda*

Abstract

We say that a polynomial automorphism ϕ in n variables is *stably co-tame* if the tame subgroup in n variables is contained in the subgroup generated by ϕ and affine automorphisms in $n+1$ variables. In this paper, we give conditions for stably co-tameness of polynomial automorphisms.

1 Introduction

Let R be a commutative ring of characteristic $p \neq 1$, $R[\mathbf{x}] := R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ the polynomial ring in n variables over R, and $\mathrm{GA}_n(R) := \mathrm{Aut}_R R[\mathbf{x}]$ the automorphism group of the R-algebra $R[\mathbf{x}]$. We identify each $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ with the n-tuple $(\phi(x_1), \ldots, \phi(x_n))$ of elements of $R[\mathbf{x}]$. The composition is defined by

$$\phi \circ \psi = (g_1(f_1, \ldots, f_n), \ldots, g_n(f_1, \ldots, f_n)) \tag{1.1}$$

for $\phi = (f_1, \ldots, f_n), \psi = (g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in R[\mathbf{x}]^n$. For each $r \geq 1$, we regard $\mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GA}_{n+r}(R)$ by identifying each $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ with the unique extension $\tilde{\phi} \in \mathrm{GA}_{n+r}(R)$ of ϕ defined by $\tilde{\phi}(x_{n+i}) = x_{n+i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$.

We say that $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ is *affine* if $\phi = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)A + b$ for some $A \in GL_n(R)$ and $b \in R^n$, and set $\mathrm{Aff}_n(R) := \{\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(R) \mid \phi \text{ is affine}\}$. We define

$$\epsilon(f) := (x_1 + f, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathrm{GA}_n(R) \quad \text{for each} \quad f \in R[\hat{\mathbf{x}}] := R[x_2, \ldots, x_n],$$

and set $\mathrm{E}_n(R) := \{\epsilon(f) \mid f \in R[\hat{\mathbf{x}}]\}$. We call $\mathrm{T}_n(R) := \langle \mathrm{Aff}_n(R), \mathrm{E}_n(R) \rangle$ the *tame subgroup*, and elements of $\mathrm{T}_n(R)$ are said to be *tame*. Here,

*Partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 15K04826

for subsets $S_1, \ldots, S_r$ and elements $g_1, \ldots, g_s$ of a group G, we denote by $\langle S_1, \ldots, S_r, g_1, \ldots, g_s \rangle$ the subgroup of G generated by $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} S_i \cup \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$.

If $n \geq 3$ and R contains $\mathbf{Q}$, then $\mathrm{T}_n(R) = \langle \mathrm{Aff}_n(R), \sigma \rangle$ holds for $\sigma = \epsilon(x_2^2)$ by Derksen (cf. [Es, Thm. 5.2.1]). We remark that Derksen's theorem requires that R is generated by R^* as a $\mathbf{Q}$-vector space, but this assumption is in fact unnecessary (cf. §3). When R is a field and $p = 0$, Bodnarchuk [B] proved a similar result for more general σ's. The situation is different if p is a prime. Maubach-Willems [MW] showed that $\mathrm{T}_3(\mathbf{F}_2) \neq \langle \mathrm{Aff}_3(\mathbf{F}_2), \epsilon(x_2^2) \rangle$, and conjectured that, if $n \geq 3$ and R is a finite field, then no finite subset E of $\mathrm{T}_n(R)$ satisfies $\mathrm{T}_n(R) = \langle \mathrm{Aff}_n(R), E \rangle$.

Edo [Ed] found a class of $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ for which $\langle \mathrm{Aff}_n(R), \phi \rangle$ contains $\mathrm{T}_n(R)$. Such ϕ is said to be *co-tame*. If R is a field, no element of $\mathrm{GA}_2(R)$ is co-tame thanks to Jung [J] and van der Kulk [K]. For $n \geq 3$, it is easy to find elements of $\mathrm{GA}_n(R) \setminus \mathrm{Aff}_n(R)$ which are not co-tame if R is not a field (cf. (4.1)), or if p is a prime (cf. [EK, §1 (d)]). In the case where $n \geq 3$, R is a field and $p = 0$, the first example of such an automorphism was found by Edo-Lewis [EL] for $n = 3$.

Recall that $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ is said to be *stably tame* if ϕ belongs to $\mathrm{T}_{n+1}(R)$. It is known that some non-tame automorphisms are stably tame (cf. [BEW], [N], [SU], [S]). The following is an analogue to the stably tame automorphisms.

Definition 1.1. We say that $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ is *stably co-tame* if $\langle \mathrm{Aff}_{n+1}(R), \phi \rangle$ contains $\mathrm{T}_n(R)$, or equivalently $\langle \mathrm{Aff}_{n+1}(R), \phi \rangle$ contains $\mathrm{E}_n(R)$.

Clearly, co-tame automorphisms are stably co-tame. When R is a field, there exist elements of $\mathrm{T}_3(R)$ which are not co-tame but stably co-tame in both cases $p = 0$ and $p > 0$ (cf. §6.1). The purpose of this paper is to study when elements of $\mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ are stably co-tame or not. If R contains an infinite field, we have a necessary and sufficient condition for stably co-tameness (Corollary 2.4).

This paper is organized as follows. The main results are stated in Section 2, and three key results are proved in Sections 3, 4 and 5. In Section 6, we study stably co-tameness of the example of Edo-Lewis. We also discuss a technique which is useful when R does not contain an infinite field.

2 Main results

Since $\mathrm{T}_1(R) = \mathrm{Aff}_1(R)$, we always assume that $n \geq 2$ unless otherwise stated. Take any $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$. We define M_ϕ to be the R-submodule of

$R[\mathbf{x}]$ generated by 1, x_i and $\eta(\phi(x_i))$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $\eta \in \mathrm{Aff}_n(R)$. By definition, we have

$$\eta(M_\phi) \subset M_\phi \quad \text{for each} \quad \eta \in \mathrm{Aff}_n(R). \tag{2.1}$$

The following theorem holds for any commutative ring R of characteristic $p \neq 1$.

Theorem 2.1. *$\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ is stably co-tame in the following four cases:*
(a) *M_ϕ contains $x_i x_j$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.*
(b) *2 is a unit of R, and M_ϕ contains x_i^2 for some $1 \leq i \leq n$.*
(c) *$n = p = 2$ and M_ϕ contains $x_1^2 x_2$ or $x_1 x_2^2$.*
(d) *$n = p = 2$, M_ϕ contains x_1^3 or x_2^3, and there exists $\xi \in R^*$ satisfying $\xi + 1 \in R^*$.*

Next, assume that p is zero or a prime. For $a \in R$ and $t_1, \ldots, t_n \geq 0$, we call $a x_1^{t_1} \cdots x_n^{t_n}$ a *good monomial* in the following five cases:
(I) $p = 0$ and $t_1 + \cdots + t_n \geq 2$.
(II) $p \geq 2$ and there exist $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ such that $t_i, t_j \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.
(III) $p \geq 3$ and there exists $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $t_i \not\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{p}$.
(IV) $n = p = 2$ and there exist $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $t_i \equiv 1$ and $t_j \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.
(V) $n = p = 2$ and there exists $1 \leq i \leq 2$ such that $t_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

For each $f \in R[\mathbf{x}]$, let C_f denote the set of the coefficients of good monomials appearing in f. We define I_ϕ to be the ideal of R generated by $\bigcup_{i=1}^n C_{\phi(x_i)}$.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that p is zero or a prime. If $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ satisfies $I_\phi \neq R$, then ϕ is not stably co-tame.*

Throughout this paper, let k be a field. When R is a commutative k-algebra, we say that $f \in R[\mathbf{x}]$ satisfies the *degree condition* if

$$\deg_{x_i}^s f \leq \#k - 2 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{2.2}$$

Here, $\deg_{x_i}^s f$ denotes the separable degree of f if $p > 0$, and the standard degree $\deg_{x_i} f$ of f if $p = 0$, as a polynomial in x_i. The *separable degree* of a polynomial $g(x) \in R[x]$ in one variable is defined as the degree of $h(x) \in R[x] \setminus R[x^p]$ such that $h(x^{p^e}) = g(x)$ for some $e \geq 0$. We say that $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ satisfies the *degree condition* if $\phi(x_i)$ satisfies the degree condition for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Now, we define J_ϕ to be the ideal of R generated by the union of C_f for $f \in \sum_{i=1}^n R\phi(x_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n R x_i$ satisfying the degree condition. Since no good

monomial is linear, J_ϕ is contained in I_ϕ. If ϕ satisfies the degree condition, then J_ϕ is equal to I_ϕ. Hence, (ii) of the following theorem is a consequence of (i).

Theorem 2.3. *Let k be a field, R a commutative k-algebra, and $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$.*
(i) *If $J_\phi = R$, then ϕ is stably co-tame.*
(ii) *If ϕ satisfies the degree condition and if $I_\phi = R$, then ϕ is stably co-tame.*

From Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 (ii), we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *Let k be an infinite field, and R a commutative k-algebra. Then, $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ is stably co-tame if and only if $I_\phi = R$.*

In particular, if $R = k$ is an infinite field, then $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(k)$ is stably co-tame if and only if a good monomial appears in $\phi(x_i)$ for some i. When $p = 0$, this is the same as ϕ is non-affine.

In the following three sections, we prove Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 (i).

3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Let R be any commutative ring, and Γ a subgroup of $\mathrm{GA}_{n+1}(R)$ containing $\mathrm{Aff}_{n+1}(R)$, where $n \geq 2$. First, we study properties of Γ. Define

$$\hat{\epsilon}(f) := (x_1, \ldots, x_n, x_{n+1} + f) \in \mathrm{GA}_{n+1}(R) \quad \text{for each} \quad f \in R[\mathbf{x}].$$

We identify each permutation $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ with $(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n+1)}) \in \mathrm{Aff}_{n+1}(R)$, and write $\phi^\sigma := \sigma^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \sigma$ for $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_{n+1}(R)$. Then, we have the following:
(A) If $\phi = (f_1, \ldots, f_n) \in \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ belongs to Γ, then $\hat{\epsilon}(f_i) = \phi \circ \hat{\epsilon}(x_i) \circ \phi^{-1}$ belongs to Γ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.
(B) If $f, g \in R[\hat{\mathbf{x}}]$ satisfy $\epsilon(f), \epsilon(gx_{n+1}) \in \Gamma$, then we have $\hat{\epsilon}(f) = \epsilon(f)^{(1,n+1)} \in \Gamma$, and so

$$\epsilon(fg) = \hat{\epsilon}(f) \circ \epsilon(gx_{n+1}) \circ \hat{\epsilon}(f)^{-1} \circ \epsilon(gx_{n+1})^{-1} \in \Gamma.$$

In particular, $\epsilon(f) \in \Gamma$ implies $\epsilon(af) \in \Gamma$ for each $f \in R[\hat{\mathbf{x}}]$ and $a \in R$, since $\epsilon(ax_{n+1})$ belongs to $\mathrm{Aff}_{n+1}(R)$, and hence to Γ.

When $\mathbf{Q} \subset R$ and $n \geq 3$, Derksen showed that $\mathrm{T}_n(R)$ is generated by $\mathrm{Aff}_n(R)$ and $\{\epsilon(ax_2^2) \mid a \in R\}$, and $\mathrm{T}_n(R) = \langle \mathrm{Aff}_n(R), \epsilon(x_2^2) \rangle$ holds if R is generated by R^* as a $\mathbf{Q}$-vector space (cf. [Es, Thm. 5.2.1]). We remark that, since $n \geq 3$, the first statement and (B) imply that $\mathrm{T}_n(R) = \langle \mathrm{Aff}_n(R), \epsilon(x_2^2) \rangle$ whenever $\mathbf{Q} \subset R$.

Lemma 3.1. *If* Γ *contains* $\hat{\epsilon}(x_1x_2)$*, or if* $n = 2$ *and* Γ *contains* $\hat{\epsilon}(x_1x_2^2)$*, then* Γ *contains* $\mathrm{T}_n(R)$*.*

Proof. We show that Γ contains $\epsilon(f)$ for each $f \in R[\hat{\mathbf{x}}]$. Since $\epsilon(g+h) = \epsilon(g)\circ\epsilon(h)$ holds for each $g, h \in R[\hat{\mathbf{x}}]$, we may assume that f is a monomial. In the former case, Γ contains $\hat{\epsilon}(x_1x_2)^{(1,n+1)(2,i)} = \epsilon(x_ix_{n+1})$ for each $2 \leq i \leq n$. By (B), it follows that $\epsilon(f) \in \Gamma$ implies $\epsilon(x_if) \in \Gamma$. Since Γ contains $\epsilon(a)$ for each $a \in R$, the assertion follows by induction on $\deg f$. In the latter case, Γ contains $\hat{\epsilon}(x_1x_2^2)^{(1,3)} = \epsilon(x_2^2x_3)$. Hence, $\epsilon(ax_2^l) \in \Gamma$ implies $\epsilon(ax_2^{l+2}) \in \Gamma$ for each $a \in R$ and $l \geq 0$ similarly. Since Γ contains $\epsilon(a)$ and $\epsilon(ax_2)$, it follows that Γ contains $\epsilon(ax_2^l)$ for all $l \geq 0$. □

The following two implications hold for the conditions listed in Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 3.2. (b) *implies* (a)*, and* (d) *implies* (c)*.*

Proof. By (2.1), (b) implies $(x_1+x_2)(x_1-x_2) = x_1^2 - x_2^2 \in M_\phi$. Since 2 is a unit of R, we have $(x_1+x_2, x_1-x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathrm{Aff}_n(R)$. Hence, M_ϕ contains x_1x_2 by (2.1). Similarly, (d) implies $x_1^2x_2 + x_1x_2^2 = x_1^3 + x_2^3 + (x_1+x_2)^3 \in M_\phi$. Hence, M_ϕ contains $((\xi x_1)^2x_2 + \xi x_1x_2^2) + \xi^2(x_1^2x_2 + x_1x_2^2) = \xi(\xi+1)x_1x_2^2$. Since $\xi(\xi+1)$ is a unit of R, this implies that M_ϕ contains $x_1x_2^2$. □

Now, we prove Theorem 2.1. Thanks to Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 and (2.1), it suffices to show that $\Gamma := \langle \mathrm{Aff}_{n+1}(R), \phi\rangle$ contains $\hat{\epsilon}(f)$ for each $f \in M_\phi$. Write $\phi = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ and

$$f = h + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{r} a_{i,j}\eta_j(f_i), \text{ where } h \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} Rx_i + R,\ a_{i,j} \in R \text{ and } \eta_j \in \mathrm{Aff}_n(R).$$

Then, $\hat{\epsilon}(f)$ is the product of $\hat{\epsilon}(h)$ and $\hat{\epsilon}(\eta_j(a_{i,j}f_i))$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $j = 1, \ldots, r$. Since Γ contains $\hat{\epsilon}(f_i)$ for each i by (A), Γ contains $\hat{\epsilon}(a_{i,j}f_i)$ for each i, j by (B). Hence, Γ contains $\eta_j \circ \hat{\epsilon}(a_{i,j}f_i) \circ \eta_j^{-1} = \hat{\epsilon}(\eta_j(a_{i,j}f_i))$ for each i, j. Since $\hat{\epsilon}(h)$ is affine, it follows that Γ contains $\hat{\epsilon}(f)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

4 Proof of Theorem 2.2

Assume that p is zero or a prime. We define $\mathrm{NG}_n(R)$ to be the set of $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ such that no good monomial appears in $\phi(x_1), \ldots, \phi(x_n)$. If $p = 0$, then we have $\mathrm{NG}_n(R) = \mathrm{Aff}_n(R)$. In this case, the following theorem is obvious.

Theorem 4.1. $\mathrm{NG}_n(R)$ *is a subgroup of* $\mathrm{GA}_n(R)$*, and no element of* $\mathrm{NG}_n(R)$ *is stably co-tame. In fact,* $\mathrm{E}_n(R) \not\subset \langle \mathrm{Aff}_{n+r}(R), \mathrm{NG}_n(R) \rangle$ *holds for any* $r \geq 1$.

To prove Theorem 4.1, we need a lemma, where p need not be zero or a prime. Consider the standard grading $R[\mathbf{x}] = \bigoplus_{l \geqslant 0} R[\mathbf{x}]_l$. An R-submodule V of $R[\mathbf{x}]$ is said to be *graded* if $V = \bigoplus_{l \geqslant 0}(V \cap R[\mathbf{x}]_l)$. If V is generated by monomials, then V is graded. Recall that each $(f_1, \ldots, f_n) \in R[\mathbf{x}]^n$ is identified with the substitution map $R[\mathbf{x}] \to R[\mathbf{x}]$ defined by $x_i \mapsto f_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $R[\mathbf{x}]^n$ forms a monoid for the composition defined in (1.1). Note that V^n is closed under this operation if and only if $\phi(V) \subset V$ holds for each $\phi \in V^n$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let* V *be a graded* R*-submodule of* $R[\mathbf{x}]$ *such that* V^n *is closed under composition. If* $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in V$*, then* $V^n \cap \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ *is a subgroup of* $\mathrm{GA}_n(R)$.

Proof. Since $V^n \cap \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ contains $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, and is closed under composition, we show that ϕ^{-1} belongs to V^n for each $\phi \in V^n \cap \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$. There exists $\eta \in V^n \cap \mathrm{Aff}_n(R)$ for which $\psi := \phi \circ \eta$ satisfies $\psi(x_i) \in x_i + \bigoplus_{l \geqslant 2} R[\mathbf{x}]_l$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Since $\phi^{-1} = \eta \circ \psi^{-1}$, and V^n is closed under composition, it suffices to verify that ψ^{-1} belongs to V^n. Suppose to the contrary that $f := \psi^{-1}(x_i)$ does not belong to V for some i. Write $f = \sum_{l \geqslant 0} f_l$, where $f_l \in R[\mathbf{x}]_l$. Then, $f_d \notin V$ holds for some $d \geq 0$. Take the minimal d, and set $f' := \sum_{l<d} f_l$ and $f'' := \sum_{l>d} f_l$. Let h, h' and h'' be the homogeneous components of $\psi(f_d)$, $\psi(f')$ and $\psi(f'')$ of degree d, respectively. Then, $h+h'+h''$ belongs to V, since $\psi(f_d) + \psi(f') + \psi(f'') = \psi(f) = x_i$ belongs to V, and V is graded by assumption. By the minimality of d, we have $f' \in V$. Since $\psi = \phi \circ \eta$ belongs to V^n, it follows that $\psi(f')$ belongs to V. This implies that h' belongs to V as before. As for h and h'', we have $h = f_d$ and $h'' = 0$, since $\psi(x_i) \in x_i + \bigoplus_{l \geqslant 2} R[\mathbf{x}]_l$ holds for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Thus, $h + h' + h'' = f_d + h'$ does not belong to V, a contradiction. Therefore, ψ^{-1} belongs to V^n. □

We remark that, if $V = \sum_{s \in \Sigma} As$ for some $\Sigma \subset R[\mathbf{x}]$ and an R-subalgebra A of $R[\mathbf{x}]$, and if $\phi(A) \subset A$ and $\phi(\Sigma) \subset V$ hold for each $\phi \in V^n$, then V^n is closed under composition. For example, assume that R has prime characteristic p, and define $R[\mathbf{x}^{p^l}] := R[x_1^{p^l}, \ldots, x_n^{p^l}]$ for each $l \geq 0$. Set $\mathbf{Z}_d := \{a \in \mathbf{Z} \mid a \geq d\}$ for each $d \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let $d, e \in \mathbf{Z}_0$ and $\emptyset \neq N \subset \mathbf{Z}_0$ be such that $d \leq e$, and each $u, v \in N$ satisfy $u + v \in N \cup \mathbf{Z}_d$. Then, we define a graded R-submodule of $R[\mathbf{x}]$ by

$$V := V(d, e, N) := R[\mathbf{x}^{p^d}] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{u \in N} R[\mathbf{x}^{p^e}] x_i^{p^u}.$$

Let us prove that V^n is closed under composition using the remark for $A := R[\mathbf{x}^{p^e}]$ and $\Sigma := R[\mathbf{x}^{p^d}] \cup \{x_i^{p^u} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, u \in N\}$. First, note that $f \in V$ implies $f^{p^v} \in V$ for any $v \in N$, since each $u, v \in N$ satisfy $(x_i^{p^u})^{p^v} \in \{x_i^{p^w} \mid w \in N\} \cup R[x_i^{p^d}]$, and $R[\mathbf{x}^{p^d}]$ contains $R[\mathbf{x}^{p^e}]$. Hence, $\phi(x_i^{p^u})$ belongs to V for each $\phi \in V^n$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $u \in N$. Clearly, $\phi(R[\mathbf{x}^{p^l}]) \subset R[\mathbf{x}^{p^l}]$ holds for any $\phi \in R[\mathbf{x}]^n$ and $l \geq 0$. Therefore, we have $\phi(A) \subset A$ and $\phi(\Sigma) \subset V$ for each $\phi \in V^n$.

Now, let us prove Theorem 4.1 when p is a prime. Clearly, $u, v \in \{0\}$ implies $u + v \in \{0\} \cup \mathbf{Z}_1$. So, we define $V_n := V(1, 1, \{0\})$ and $W_n := V(1, 2, \{0\})$, i.e.,

$$V_n = R[\mathbf{x}^p] + R[\mathbf{x}^p]x_1 + \cdots + R[\mathbf{x}^p]x_n$$
$$W_n = R[\mathbf{x}^p] + R[\mathbf{x}^{p^2}]x_1 + \cdots + R[\mathbf{x}^{p^2}]x_n.$$

If $n = p = 2$, then $t_1, t_2 \geq 0$ satisfy $x_1^{t_1}x_2^{t_2} \in W_2$ if and only if $t_1, t_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 2$, or $t_i \equiv 1$, $t_j \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ for some $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. Hence, we have $x_1^{t_1}x_2^{t_2} \notin W_2$ if and only if $x_1^{t_1}x_2^{t_2}$ is a good monomial. Similarly, when $(n, p) \neq (2, 2)$, a nonzero monomial m is good if and only if m does not belong to V_n. Thus, $\mathrm{NG}_n(R)$ is equal to $W_2^2 \cap \mathrm{GA}_2(R)$ if $n = p = 2$, and to $V_n^n \cap \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ otherwise. Therefore, $\mathrm{NG}_n(R)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ by Lemma 4.2. Note that $\langle \mathrm{Aff}_{n+r}(R), \mathrm{NG}_n(R)\rangle$ is contained in W_{n+r}^{n+r} if $n = p = 2$, and in V_{n+r}^{n+r} otherwise. Since $\mathrm{E}_n(R) \setminus V_{n+r}^{n+r}$ contains $\epsilon(x_2x_3)$ if $n \geq 3$, and $\epsilon(x_2^2)$ if $p \geq 3$, while $\mathrm{E}_n(R) \setminus W_{n+r}^{n+r}$ contains $\epsilon(x_2^{p+1})$ for any $n, p \geq 2$, we get the last part of Theorem 4.1. This completes the proof.

If I is a proper ideal of R, then each $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_{n+r}(R)$ induces an element ϕ_I of $\mathrm{GA}_{n+r}(R/I)$. Since $\mathrm{E}_n(R) \ni \tau \mapsto \tau_I \in \mathrm{E}_n(R/I)$ is surjective, $(\sigma \circ \tau)_I = \sigma_I \circ \tau_I$ for each $\sigma, \tau \in \mathrm{GA}_{n+r}(R)$, and $\tau \in \mathrm{Aff}_{n+r}(R)$ implies $\tau_I \in \mathrm{Aff}_{n+r}(R/I)$, we see that

$$\mathrm{E}_n(R) \subset \langle \mathrm{Aff}_{n+r}(R), \phi\rangle \ \text{ implies } \ \mathrm{E}_n(R/I) \subset \langle \mathrm{Aff}_{n+r}(R/I), \phi_I\rangle \qquad (4.1)$$

for any $r \geq 0$ and $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$. Hence, Theorem 4.1 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3. *Let I be a proper ideal of R such that $\mathrm{char}(R/I)$ is zero or a prime. If $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ satisfies $\phi_I \in \mathrm{NG}_n(R/I)$, then $\mathrm{E}_n(R) \not\subset \langle \mathrm{Aff}_{n+r}(R), \phi\rangle$ holds for any $r \geq 1$. Here, $\mathrm{char}(R/I)$ is the characteristic of R/I.*

Now, we can prove Theorem 2.2. By assumption, $I := I_\phi$ is a proper ideal of R. If p is a prime, then R contains $\mathbf{F}_p$, and so $\mathrm{char}(R/I) = p$. Hence, ϕ_I belongs to $\mathrm{NG}_n(R/I)$ by the definition of I. Thus, ϕ is not stably co-tame

by Corollary 4.3. If $p = 0$, then ϕ_I is affine. By (4.1), this implies that ϕ is not stably co-tame.

Finally, we remark that, if $p = 2$, then $R[x_2]$ is contained in V_n. Hence, we have $\mathrm{E}_2(R) \subset V_2^2$, and so $\mathrm{T}_2(R) \subset V_2^2$. Thus, for any $\phi \in \mathrm{T}_2(R)$, no monomial $x_1^{t_1}x_2^{t_2}$ with t_1 and t_2 odd appears in $\phi(x_1)$ and $\phi(x_2)$. If R is a domain, the same holds for any $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_2(R)$, since $\mathrm{T}_2(K) = \mathrm{GA}_2(K)$ for any field K (cf. [J], [K]).

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that $p = 2$, $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_2(R)$, $1 \leq i \leq 2$ and $t_1, t_2 \geq 0$ are odd. If $a \in R$ is the coefficient of $x_1^{t_1}x_2^{t_2}$ in $\phi(x_i)$, then a belongs to the nilradical of R.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be any prime ideal of R. Since $R/\mathfrak{p}$ is a domain of characteristic two, $x_1^{t_1}x_2^{t_2}$ does not appear in $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_i)$ as mentioned. Hence, a belongs to $\mathfrak{p}$. □

5 Proof of Theorem 2.3 (i)

Assume that R is a commutative k-algebra. To prove Theorem 2.3 (i), we verify that one of (a), (b) and (c) of Theorem 2.1 holds when $J_\phi = R$. If $J_\phi = R$, a good monomial appears in a polynomial satisfying the degree condition. This implies that $\#k > 2$, and so there always exists $\xi \in R^*$ satisfying $\xi + 1 \in R^*$.

Take any $f(x) \in R[x]$ and write $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} u_i x^{ip^e}$ with $d, e \geq 0$ and $u_i \in R$, where we regard $p^e = 1$ if $p = 0$. If k contains $d + 1$ distinct elements $\xi_0, \ldots, \xi_d$, then $u_i x^{ip^e}$ can be written as a k-linear combination of $f(\xi_0 x), \ldots, f(\xi_d x)$ for each $0 \leq i \leq d$ by linear algebra. This remark is used to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *If $f \in R[\mathbf{x}]$ satisfies the degree condition, each monomial appearing in f is written as a k-linear combination of $f(\xi_1 x_1, \ldots, \xi_n x_n)$ for $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in k^*$.*

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on n. The case $n = 0$ is clear. Assume that $n \geq 1$, and write $f = \sum_{i=0}^{d} f_i x_1^{ip^e}$, where $d := \#k - 2$, $f_i \in R[\hat{\mathbf{x}}]$ and $e \geq 0$. Take any monomial m appearing in f. Then, there exists i such that m appears in $f_i x_1^i$. Since $\#k = d + 2$, we may find distinct $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_d \in k^*$. Then, $f_i x_1^i$ is written as a k-linear combination of $f(\alpha_l x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ for $l = 0, \ldots, d$ as remarked. By the choice of i, we have $m = m' x_1^i$ for some monomial m' appearing in f_i. Note that $\deg^s_{x_j} f_i \leq \deg^s_{x_j} f \leq d$ for all j. Hence, by induction assumption, m' is written as a k-linear combination of $f_i(\beta_2 x_2, \ldots, \beta_n x_n)$ for $\beta_2, \ldots, \beta_n \in k^*$. Thus, $m = m' x_1^i$ is written as a k-linear combination of $f_i(\beta_2 x_2, \ldots, \beta_n x_n) x_1^i$'s, and therefore that of $f(\alpha_l x_1, \beta_2 x_2, \ldots, \beta_n x_n)$ for $l = 0, \ldots, d$ and $\beta_2, \ldots, \beta_n \in k^*$. □

Next, we define $\lambda \in \mathrm{Aff}_n(R)$ by $\lambda(x_i) = x_i + 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Lemma 5.2. *If $x_1^{t_1} \cdots x_n^{t_n}$ is a good monomial, there appears in $\lambda(x_1^{t_1} \cdots x_n^{t_n})$ the monomial ux_ix_j with $u \in k^*$ in the cases* (I), (II) *and* (III), *$x_ix_j^2$ in the case* (IV), *and x_i^3 in the case* (V), *where $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and where $i \neq j$ if* (II) *or* (IV).

Proof. In the case (IV), we have $\lambda(x_1^{t_1}x_2^{t_2}) = (x_i+1)(x_j^2+1)(x_i^4+1)^{t'_i}(x_j^4+1)^{t'_j}$ for some $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ with $i \neq j$, and $t'_1, t'_2 \geq 0$. Then, it is easy to see that the monomial $x_ix_j^2$ appears in $\lambda(x_1^{t_1}x_2^{t_2})$. Other cases are verified similarly. □

Now, let us prove Theorem 2.3 (i). Since $J_\phi = R$ by assumption, we may find $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in R$ and $g_1, \ldots, g_r \in \sum_{i=1}^n R\phi(x_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n Rx_i$ with $r \geq 1$ as follows:

(1) For each $1 \leq l \leq r$, a good monomial m_l appears in g_l with coefficient a_l.
(2) $\sum_{l=1}^r a_l = 1$, and $g_1, \ldots, g_r$ satisfy the degree condition.

Since g_l satisfies the degree condition for each l, so does m_l, and hence so does $\lambda(m_l)$. Clearly, g_l belongs to M_ϕ. Hence, m_l belongs to M_ϕ by Lemma 5.1 and (2.1). Then, $\lambda(m_l)$ belongs to M_ϕ, so each monomial appearing in $\lambda(m_l)$ belongs to M_ϕ similarly. By Lemma 5.2, there appears in $\lambda(m_l)$ the monomial $n_l := u_la_lx_{i_l}x_{j_l}$ with $u_l \in k^*$ in the cases (I), (II) and (III), $n_l := a_lx_{i_l}x_{j_l}^2$ in the case (IV), and $n_l := a_lx_{i_l}^3$ in the case (V), where $i_l, j_l \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and where $i_l \neq j_l$ if (II) or (IV). Since n_l belongs to M_ϕ, we have $a_lx_1x_2 \in M_\phi$ in the case (II). The proof of Lemma 3.2 shows that $a_lx_1x_2^2 \in M_\phi$ in the case (V) as well as in the case (IV).

If $n \geq 3$ and $p = 2$, then m_l must be of type (II) for all l. Hence, M_ϕ contains $\sum_{l=1}^r a_lx_1x_2 = x_1x_2$. Therefore, (a) of Theorem 2.1 holds.

If $n = p = 2$, then m_l is of type (II) or (IV) or (V) for each l. In the case (II), a_l is nilpotent by Lemma 4.4. Since $\sum_{l=1}^r a_l = 1$, it follows that $u := \sum' a_l$ is a unit of R, where the sum $\sum'$ is taken over l such that m_l is of type (IV) or (V). Hence, M_ϕ contains $u^{-1}\sum' a_lx_1x_2^2 = x_1x_2^2$. Therefore, (c) of Theorem 2.1 holds.

If $p \neq 2$, then each m_l is of type (I) or (II) or (III), so M_ϕ contains $u_la_lx_{i_l}x_{j_l}$. By (2.1), M_ϕ contains $a_lx_1^2$ if $i_l = j_l$. If $i_l \neq j_l$, then M_ϕ contains $a_lx_1x_2$, and hence contains $a_lx_1(x_2 + x_1) - a_lx_1x_2 = a_lx_1^2$. Thus, M_ϕ contains $\sum_{l=1}^r a_lx_1^2 = x_1^2$. Therefore, (b) of Theorem 2.1 holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3 (i).

6 Remarks

6.1. When $R = k$, Edo-Lewis [EL] showed that $\theta_N := (\beta \circ \pi)^{-N} \circ \pi \circ (\beta \circ \pi)^N$ is neither affine nor co-tame for each $N \geq 3$, where

$$\beta := (x_1 + x_2^2(x_2 + x_3^2)^2, x_2 + x_3^2, x_3) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi := (x_2, x_1, x_3).$$

Here, we mention that the expression of θ_N above is slightly different from the original one, due to the difference in the definitions of composition (cf. §2.2 of [EL] and (1.1)). Since $R = k$ and θ_N is not affine, θ_N is stably co-tame if $p = 0$ by the remark after Corollary 2.4. If $p = 2$, then θ_N belongs to $\mathrm{NG}_3(k)$, and hence is not stably co-tame by Theorem 4.1. When $p \geq 3$, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. *If $p \geq 3$, $N \geq 1$ and $\#k \geq 4^{2N-1} + 2$, then θ_N is stably co-tame.*

Proof. Observe that θ_N is written as $\sigma_1 \circ \tau_1 \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_N \circ \tau_N \circ \sigma$, where

$$\sigma_i \in \{\pi \circ \beta \circ \pi, \pi \circ \beta^{-1} \circ \pi\}, \quad \tau_i \in \{\beta, \beta^{-1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma \in \{\mathrm{id}, \pi\}$$

with $\sigma_1 = \pi \circ \beta^{-1} \circ \pi =: (h_1, h_2, x_3)$. For each $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, w_3) \in \mathbf{Z}^3$, we define the $\mathbf{w}$-weighted grading on $R[\mathbf{x}]$ by $\deg_{\mathbf{w}} x_i := w_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. For $f \in R[\mathbf{x}] \setminus \{0\}$, we denote by $f^{\mathbf{w}}$ the highest $\mathbf{w}$-homogeneous part of f. Now, set $\theta'_N := \theta_N \circ \sigma$. We claim that, if $\deg_{\mathbf{w}} h_2$ is greater than $(1/4)\deg_{\mathbf{w}} h_1$ and $2w_3$, then

$$\theta'_N(x_i)^{\mathbf{w}} = (\sigma_1 \circ \tau_1 \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_N \circ \tau_N)(x_i)^{\mathbf{w}} = \pm (h_2^{\mathbf{w}})^{4^{2N-i}} \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

In fact, the case $N = 1$ is checked directly, and the case $N \geq 2$ follows by induction on N, since $(\sigma_i \circ \tau_i)(x_2) = x_2 \pm x_1^2(x_1 \pm x_3^2)^2 \pm x_3^2$ and

$$(\sigma_i \circ \tau_i)(x_1) = x_1 \pm x_3^2 \pm (x_2 \pm x_1^2(x_1 \pm x_3^2)^2)^2 (x_2 \pm x_1^2(x_1 \pm x_3^2)^2 \pm x_3^2)^2 \quad \text{for each } i.$$

Since $h_1 = x_1 - x_3^2$ and $h_2 = x_2 - x_1^2 h_1^2$, the assumption of the claim holds for $\mathbf{w} = (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (2, 0, 1)$, for which

$$h_2^{\mathbf{w}} = -x_1^4, x_2, -x_1^2 x_3^4, -x_1^2(x_1 - x_3^2)^2,$$

respectively. The first three of these imply $\deg_{x_i} \theta'_N(x_2) \leq 4 \cdot 4^{2N-2} \leq \#k - 2$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Hence, $\theta'_N(x_2)$ satisfies the degree condition. Since $\theta'_N(x_2)^{(2,0,1)} = \pm(x_1(x_1 - x_3^2))^t$ with $t := 2 \cdot 4^{2N-2}$ similarly, the monomial $\pm(x_1 x_3^2)^t$ appears in $\theta'_N(x_2)$. Since $p \geq 3$, we see that $x_1^t x_3^{2t}$ is a good monomial of type (III). Thus, we get $J_{\theta_N} = J_{\theta'_N} = R$. Therefore, θ_N is stably co-tame by Theorem 2.3 (i). □

6.2. When k is a finite field, Theorem 2.3 might not be useful due to the degree condition. In such a case, the following technique may be effective. Take any $\eta \in \mathrm{Aff}_n(R)$, and set $\delta := \eta - \mathrm{id}$. Then, $\delta(M_\phi) \subset M_\phi$ holds for each $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ by (2.1). Since $\phi(x_j)$ belongs to M_ϕ for each j, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *If $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ and $h \in R[\mathbf{x}]$ satisfy the following condition, then h belongs to M_ϕ*: There exist $1 \le j \le n$ and $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_l \in \mathrm{Aff}_n(R)$ with $l \ge 1$ such that $(\delta_1 \circ \cdots \circ \delta_l \circ \phi)(x_j)$ belongs to $R^*h + M_\phi$, where $\delta_i := \eta_i - \mathrm{id}$ for each i.

Assume that p is a prime. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, take any $a_i \in R^*$, define $\eta_i \in \mathrm{Aff}_n(R)$ by $\eta_i(x_i) = x_i + a_i$ and $\eta_i(x_j) = x_j$ for $j \ne i$, and put $\delta_i := \eta_i - \mathrm{id}$. Then, we have $\delta_i^p = 0$ since $\eta_i^p = \mathrm{id}$, $\deg_{x_i} \delta_i(x_i^d) < d$ for $d \ge 0$, and $\delta_i^t(x_i^d) \in R^* x_i^{d-t} + \sum_{j=0}^{d-t-1} R x_i^j$ if $p \nmid d - l$ for all $0 \le l < t$. Note that $\ker \delta_i$ is an R-subalgebra of $R[\mathbf{x}]$, and δ_i is $\ker \delta_i$-linear. Also, $\ker \delta_i$ contains the R-subalgebra A_i generated by $q_i := x_i^p - a_i^{p-1} x_i$ and x_j for $j \ne i$. We claim that $\ker \delta_i = A_i$. In fact, each $f \in \ker \delta_i \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies $p \mid \deg_{x_i} f =: lp$, hence $\deg_{x_i}(f - h q_i^l) < lp$ holds for some $h \in R[\{x_j \mid j \ne i\}]$. Thus, we get $f - h q_i^l \in A_i$ by induction on l, and so $f \in A_i$.

Now, fix $l = (l_1, \dots, l_n) \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}^n$, and set $\delta^l := \delta_1^{l_1} \circ \cdots \circ \delta_n^{l_n}$ and

$$M_l := \sum_{i=0}^{n} R x_i \mathbf{x}^l + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{l_i - 1} A_i x_i^j, \text{ where } x_0 := 1 \text{ and } \mathbf{x}^l := x_1^{l_1} \cdots x_n^{l_n}.$$

Then, since δ_i's commute with each other, and are A_i-linear, we see that $\delta^l(M_l)$ is contained in $\sum_{i=0}^n R x_i$. Hence, $\delta^l(M_l) \subset M_\phi$ holds for every $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(R)$. Using this, we can give sufficient conditions for stably co-tameness of ϕ. For example, assume that $l_1, l_2 \le p - 2$. Then, $\delta^l(x_1 x_2 \mathbf{x}^l)$ belongs to $R^* x_1 x_2 + \sum_{i=0}^2 R x_i$. Hence, if ϕ satisfies $\phi(x_j) \in R^* x_1 x_2 \mathbf{x}^l + M_l$ for some $1 \le j \le n$, then $(\delta^l \circ \phi)(x_j)$ belongs to $R^* x_1 x_2 + M_\phi$. This implies that $x_1 x_2$ belongs to M_ϕ by Lemma 6.2. Therefore, ϕ is stably co-tame by Theorem 2.1. Similarly, if $p \ge 3$, $l_1 \le p - 3$, and $\phi(x_j)$ belongs to $R^* x_1^2 \mathbf{x}^l + M_l$ for some $1 \le j \le n$, then ϕ is stably co-tame.

6.3. When $n \ge 3$, Motoki Kuroda [MK] showed that $\epsilon(x_2^2)$ is stably co-tame for $R = \mathbf{F}_p$ with $p \ge 3$, and found it hard to decide whether $\epsilon(x_2^5)$ is stably co-tame for $R = \mathbf{F}_3$. Note that $\epsilon(x_2^5)$ is stably co-tame for $R = \mathbf{F}_9$ by Theorem 2.3 (ii).

6.4. The *Tame Generators Problem* asks if $\mathrm{GA}_n(R) = \mathrm{T}_n(R)$. In the context of stably co-tame automorphisms, $\mathrm{NG}_n(R)$ is a natural generalization of $\mathrm{Aff}_n(R)$. So, the following problem is of interest, as a generalization of the

Tame Generators Problem in prime characteristic (see also [EK] for other generalizations).

Problem. When p is a prime, does it hold that $\mathrm{GA}_n(R) = \langle \mathrm{NG}_n(R), \mathrm{T}_n(R) \rangle$?

References

[BEW] J. Berson, A. van den Essen and D. Wright, *Stable tameness of two-dimensional polynomial automorphisms over a regular ring*, Adv. Math. **230** (2012), no. 4-6, 2176–2197.

[B] Y. Bodnarchuk, *On generators of the tame invertible polynomial maps group*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **15** (2005), no. 5-6, 851–867.

[Ed] E. Edo, *Coordinates of $R[x, y]$: constructions and classifications*, Comm. Algebra **41** (2013), no. 12, 4694–4710.

[EK] E. Edo and S. Kuroda, *Generalisations of the tame automorphisms over a domain of positive characteristic*, Transform. Groups **20** (2015), no. 1, 65–81.

[EL] E. Edo and D. Lewis, *The affine automorphism group of $\mathbb{A}^3$ is not a maximal subgroup of the tame automorphism group*, Michigan Math. J. **64** (2015), no. 3, 555–568.

[Es] A. van den Essen, *Polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian conjecture*, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 190, Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 2000.

[J] H. Jung, *Über ganze birationale Transformationen der Ebene*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **184** (1942), 161–174.

[K] W. van der Kulk, *On polynomial rings in two variables*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (3) **1** (1953), 33–41.

[MK] M. Kuroda, *Stably Derksen polynomial automorphisms over finite fields* (Japanese), Master's Thesis, Tokyo Metropolitan University, January 2016.

[MW] S. Maubach and R. Willems, *Polynomial automorphisms over finite fields: mimicking tame maps by the Derksen group*, Serdica Math. J. **37** (2011), no. 4, 305–322 (2012).

[N] M. Nagata, *On Automorphism Group of* $k[x, y]$, Lectures in Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, Vol. 5, Kinokuniya Book-Store Co. Ltd., Tokyo, 1972.

[SU] I. Shestakov and U. Umirbaev, *The tame and the wild automorphisms of polynomial rings in three variables*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (2004), 197–227.

[S] M. K. Smith, *Stably tame automorphisms*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **58** (1989), 209–212.

Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences
Tokyo Metropolitan University
1-1 Minami-Osawa, Hachioji
Tokyo 192-0397, Japan
kuroda@tmu.ac.jp